University of Bergen, Department of Physics
Scientific/Technical Report No.1996-06
ISSN 0803-2696

hep-ph/9605453

May 1996

arXiv:hep-ph/9605453v1 31 May 1996

# One-loop results for three-gluon vertex in arbitrary gauge and dimension*

A. I. Davydychev[a], P. Osland[a] and O. V. Tarasov[b]

[a] *Department of Physics, University of Bergen,*
*Allégaten 55, N-5007 Bergen, Norway*

[b] *IfH, DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany*

We review the calculation of one-loop contributions to the three-gluon vertex, for arbitrary (off-shell) external momenta, in arbitrary covariant gauge and in arbitrary space-time dimension. We discuss how one can get the results for all on-shell limits of interest directly from the general off-shell expression.

---

* Presented at *QCD and QED in Higher Order*, 1996 Zeuthen Workshop on Elementary Particle Theory, Rheinsberg, April 21–26, 1996, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)

# One-loop results for three-gluon vertex in arbitrary gauge and dimension

A. I. Davydychev[a*] , P. Osland[a] and O. V. Tarasov[b†]

[a]Department of Physics, University of Bergen, Allégaten 55, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

[b]IfH, DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany.

We review the calculation of one-loop contributions to the three-gluon vertex, for arbitrary (off-shell) external momenta, in arbitrary covariant gauge and in arbitrary space-time dimension. We discuss how one can get the results for all on-shell limits of interest directly from the general off-shell expression.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The three-gluon vertex is the basic object of Quantum Chromodynamics [1] "responsible" for its non-Abelian nature. In the standard covariant-gauge perturbation theory, the one-loop contributions to the three-gluon vertex have been studied in a number of papers. Celmaster and Gonsalves [2] presented the one-loop result for the vertex, for off-shell gluons, restricted to the symmetric case, $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^3$, in an arbitrary covariant gauge. Then, Ball and Chiu [3] considered the general off-shell case, but restricted to the Feynman gauge. Later, various on-shell results have also been given, by Brandt and Frenkel [4], restricted to the infrared-singular parts only (in an arbitrary covariant gauge), and by Nowak, Praszałowicz and Słomiński [5], who also gave the finite parts for the case of two gluons being on-shell (in the Feynman gauge).

In a recent paper [6], we have completed the investigation of the one-loop three-gluon vertex in covariant gauge (for the case when massless quarks are considered). We have obtained results which are valid for *arbitrary* values of the space-time dimension and the gauge parameter. Apart from the three-gluon vertex itself, we have also considered the ghost-gluon vertex (as well as two-point functions), to be able to check that all these quantities obey the Ward–Slavnov–Taylor identity for the three-gluon vertex. The present paper contains a brief overview of those results.

## 2. NOTATION

The lowest-order three-gluon vertex is well known,

$$-\mathrm{i}gf^{a_1a_2a_3}\left[g_{\mu_1\mu_2}(p_1-p_2)_{\mu_3}+g_{\mu_2\mu_3}(p_2-p_3)_{\mu_1} +g_{\mu_3\mu_1}(p_3-p_1)_{\mu_2}\right], \quad (1)$$

where $p_1, p_2$ and $p_3$ are the momenta of the gluons, all of which are ingoing, $p_1+p_2+p_3=0$. The $f^{a_1a_2a_3}$ are the totally antisymmetric colour structures corresponding to the adjoint representation of the gauge group. They can be extracted from the general three-gluon vertex by defining

$$\Gamma^{a_1a_2a_3}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1,p_2,p_3) \equiv -\mathrm{i}\, g\, f^{a_1a_2a_3}\, \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1,p_2,p_3). \quad (2)$$

Since the colour structures $f^{a_1a_2a_3}$ are antisymmetric, $\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1,p_2,p_3)$ must also be *antisymmetric* under any interchange of a pair of gluon momenta and the corresponding Lorentz indices.

When one calculates one-loop (and higher) contributions to the three-gluon vertex, other tensor structures arise, in addition to the lowest-order expression (1), and the general tensor decomposition should be considered. We use the symmetric decomposition of the general three-gluon vertex, proposed in [3],

$$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1,p_2,p_3) \\ = A(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2)\; g_{\mu_1\mu_2}(p_1-p_2)_{\mu_3} \\ + B(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2)\; g_{\mu_1\mu_2}(p_1+p_2)_{\mu_3}$$

---

[*] Permanent address: Institute for Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, 119899, Moscow, Russia.

[†] On leave from Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, Dubna, Russia.

$$\begin{aligned}
&-C(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2)\left((p_1p_2)g_{\mu_1\mu_2}-p_{1\mu_2}p_{2\mu_1}\right)(p_1-p_2)_{\mu_3}\\
&+\tfrac{1}{3}S(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2)\left(p_{1\mu_3}p_{2\mu_1}p_{3\mu_2}+p_{1\mu_2}p_{2\mu_3}p_{3\mu_1}\right)\\
&+F(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2)\left((p_1p_2)g_{\mu_1\mu_2}-p_{1\mu_2}p_{2\mu_1}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(p_{1\mu_3}(p_2p_3)-p_{2\mu_3}(p_1p_3)\right)\\
&+H(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2)\left[-g_{\mu_1\mu_2}\left(p_{1\mu_3}(p_2p_3)-p_{2\mu_3}(p_1p_3)\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\tfrac{1}{3}\left(p_{1\mu_3}p_{2\mu_1}p_{3\mu_2}-p_{1\mu_2}p_{2\mu_3}p_{3\mu_1}\right)\right]\\
&\;+\quad\{\text{cyclic permutations}\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

The $A$, $C$ and $F$ functions are symmetric in the first two arguments, the $H$ function is totally symmetric, $B$ is antisymmetric in the first two arguments, while $S$ is antisymmetric with respect to interchange of any pair of arguments. The $F$ and $H$ contributions are totally transverse, i.e. they give zero when contracted with any of $p_{1\mu_1}$, $p_{2\mu_2}$ or $p_{3\mu_3}$. At the zero-loop level, the only non-vanishing function is $A^{(0)}=1$ (cf. eq. (1) ).

The set of one-loop diagrams contributing to the three-gluon vertex contains the gluon, ghost and quark “triangles”, as well as three “bubbles” involving four-gluon vertices. The tensor structure of the gluon propagator (with the momentum $p$) is $(g_{\mu_1\mu_2}-\xi p_{\mu_1}p_{\mu_2}/p^2)$, where $\xi$ is the covariant-gauge parameter (taking $\xi=0$ corresponds to the Feynman gauge). In what follows, we shall use the superscript “$(1,\xi)$” for the contributions in arbitrary gauge (without quark loops), “$(1,0)$” for the same contributions in the Feynman gauge, and “$(1,q)$” for the contribution of the quark loops. The superscript “(1)” refers to the sum of all one-loop contributions.

In the one-loop calculation, the following scalar integrals (corresponding to the triangle diagrams) are involved:

$$J(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3)\equiv\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^n q}{((p_2-q)^2)^{\nu_1}((p_1+q)^2)^{\nu_2}(q^2)^{\nu_3}}$$

where $n=4-2\varepsilon$ is the space-time dimension. All such integrals occurring in the calculation of the one-loop three-gluon vertex can be algebraically reduced to one non-trivial integral,

$$J(1,1,1)=\mathrm{i}\pi^{n/2}\;\eta\;\varphi(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2),\tag{4}$$

where $\varphi(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2)\equiv\varphi$ is a totally symmetric function, and three two-point integrals, $J(0,1,1)$, $J(1,0,1)$ and $J(1,1,0)$, which can be expressed in terms of a power-like function

$$\kappa(p_i^2)\equiv\kappa_i=-\frac{2}{(n-3)(n-4)}\,(-p_i^2)^{(n-4)/2}\tag{5}$$

as, e.g., $J(1,1,0)=\mathrm{i}\pi^{n/2}\;\eta\;\kappa(p_3^2)$, and similarly for $J(0,1,1)$ and $J(1,0,1)$. In these equations, $\eta$ denotes a factor constructed of $\Gamma$ functions,

$$\eta\equiv\Gamma^2(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\Gamma(3-\tfrac{n}{2})/\Gamma(n-3).\tag{6}$$

Note that integrals $J(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3)$ with two non-positive powers $\nu_i$ vanish in dimensional regularization [7]. For $\xi\neq0$, we also get integrals with some of the powers of the denominators equal to two. However, with the help of the integration-by-parts technique [8] these integrals can be algebraically reduced to the above basic set (see ref. [9]).

For the integral (4), a one-dimensional integral representation [10] (which is valid for arbitrary $\varepsilon$) can be derived,

$$-\frac{\mathrm{i}\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\eta}{(-p_3^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}\;\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_0^1\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma\;\sigma^{-\varepsilon}\left((y\sigma)^{-\varepsilon}-(x/\sigma)^{-\varepsilon}\right)}{\left(y\sigma^2+(1-x-y)\sigma+x\right)^{1-\varepsilon}}.$$

Using the connection between massive and massless diagrams [11] and the known expressions for two-loop massive vacuum diagrams [12], the result for $J(1,1,1)$ (in arbitrary space-time dimension) can be presented in terms of ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric functions. In four dimensions, the off-shell result can be expressed in terms of dilogarithms (see e.g. in [13,3,9]).

## 3. OFF-SHELL RESULTS

When calculating the diagrams, we used the standard technique of tensor decomposition [14], reducing the result to combinations of scalar integrals multiplying the tensor structures constructed from the external momenta. Then, we constructed the scalar functions (3) as certain linear combinations of the coefficients of these tensor structures. Finally, all scalar integrals were expressed in terms of the above-mentioned basis of the four integrals. While performing the calculations, the REDUCE system [15] was heavily employed.

Before presenting selected results, we define two totally symmetric combinations of the invariants formed from the external momenta,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q} &\equiv (p_1p_2)+(p_1p_3)+(p_2p_3) = -\tfrac{1}{2}(p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2), \\
\mathcal{K} &\equiv p_1^2p_2^2-(p_1p_2)^2 = -\tfrac{1}{4}\lambda\left(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda$ is the Källen function.

Let us first consider the one-loop contributions to the three-gluon vertex (3) in the Feynman gauge ($\xi=0$), without the quark loops. We shall use the standard notation $C_A$ for the Casimir constant, $f^{acd}f^{bcd}=C_A\,\delta^{ab}$, with ($C_A=N$ for the SU($N$) group), whereas the factor $\eta$ occurring in the results is defined by eq. (6).

The one-loop results for the functions (3), for arbitrary value of the space-time dimension $n$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
& A^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,\frac{C_A}{4(n-1)\mathcal{K}} \\
& \times\Big\{(n-1)\left(p_3^2+3(p_1p_2)\right) \\
& \qquad \times\left[p_3^2(p_1p_2)\varphi+(p_1p_3)\kappa_1+(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+p_3^2\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad +4(n-1)\mathcal{K}\left[(p_1p_2)\varphi+\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad -(3n-2)\mathcal{K}\left[\kappa_1+\kappa_2\right]\Big\}, \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = -\frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,\frac{C_A\,(p_1^2-p_2^2)}{4(n-1)\mathcal{K}} \\
& \times\Big\{(n-1)\left[(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\varphi\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left.+(p_1p_3)\kappa_1+(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+p_3^2\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad +(4n-3)\mathcal{K}\,(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)/(p_1^2-p_2^2)\Big\}, \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& C^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,\frac{C_A}{4(n-1)\mathcal{K}} \\
& \times\Big\{3(n-1)\left[p_3^2(p_1p_2)\varphi+(p_1p_3)\kappa_1+(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+p_3^2\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad -2\,(4n-3)\,\mathcal{K}\,(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)/(p_1^2-p_2^2)\Big\}, \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

$$S^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2) = 0, \qquad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& F^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,\frac{C_A}{4(n-1)\mathcal{K}^3} \\
& \times\Big\{2\left[(n^2-1)(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left.+2(n-2)p_3^2\mathcal{K}-(n-7)(p_1p_2)\mathcal{K}\right] \\
& \qquad \times\left[p_3^2(p_1p_2)\varphi+(p_1p_3)\kappa_1+(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+p_3^2\kappa_3\right] \\
& +2\mathcal{K}\left[(n+1)(n-4)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)-(5n-11)\mathcal{K}\right] \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad \times\left[(p_1p_2)\varphi+\kappa_3\right] \\
& +2p_3^2\mathcal{K}\left[(n+1)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)+(n-3)\mathcal{K}\right]\varphi \\
& +(4n-7)\mathcal{K}^2\left[\kappa_1+\kappa_2\right] \\
& +\mathcal{K}\left[2(n+1)(p_1p_2)(p_1^2-p_2^2)^2+(4n-3)\mathcal{K}(p_1-p_2)^2\right] \\
& \qquad\qquad \times(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)/(p_1^2-p_2^2)\Big\}, \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^{(1,0)}(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,\frac{C_A}{2(n-1)\mathcal{K}^3} \\
& \times\Big\{(n^2-1)(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3) \\
& \qquad \times\left[(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\varphi+(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)\kappa_1\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left.+(p_1p_2)(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad -3(n-1)(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\mathcal{K}\left[\mathcal{Q}\varphi+\kappa_1+\kappa_2+\kappa_3\right] \\
& \quad +2(n-1)\mathcal{K}^3\,\varphi \\
& \quad +(n-2)\mathcal{K}\left[p_1^2\left(p_1^2(p_2p_3)+(p_1p_2)(p_1p_3)\right)\kappa_1\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad +p_2^2\left(p_2^2(p_1p_3)+(p_1p_2)(p_2p_3)\right)\kappa_2 \\
& \qquad\qquad \left.+p_3^2\left(p_3^2(p_1p_2)+(p_1p_3)(p_2p_3)\right)\kappa_3\right]\Big\}. \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

When expanded around $n=4$, these results correspond to those presented in [3] (see in [6] for the details of this comparison).

In [6], the results for (massless) quark-loop contributions are also presented. For example,

$$A^{(1,q)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}N_fT_R\frac{n-2}{n-1}\left[\kappa_1+\kappa_2\right],$$

where $N_f$ is the number of quarks and $T_R=\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(I)=\frac{1}{2}$. The result $S=0$ is valid also for the quark loop contributions, even when the quarks are massive (moreover, it is valid in an arbitrary gauge). It should be noted that presenting the results in arbitrary dimension does not spoil their compactness, as compared with the formulae expanded around $n=4$.

In an arbitrary gauge, the results for the scalar functions of the three-gluon vertex (3) are obviously less compact than those in the Feynman gauge. We list one of them below, for arbitrary value of the space-time dimension:

$$\begin{aligned}
& A^{(1,\xi)}(p_1^2,p_2^2;p_3^2) = \frac{g^2\,\eta}{(4\pi)^{n/2}}\,C_A\,\frac{1}{32\mathcal{K}^2\,p_1^2\,p_2^2} \\
& \times\Bigg\{\Big[p_1^2p_2^2\mathcal{K}\Big(\left(8-4\xi-(n-2)(n-3)\xi^2\right)p_3^2 \\
& \qquad\qquad +2\left(12+4(n-3)\xi+(n-3)\xi^2\right)(p_1p_2)\Big) \\
& \quad +\xi\left((n-4)\xi+4\right)\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Q}\left((n-3)(p_1p_2)\mathcal{Q}-(n-4)\mathcal{K}\right) \\
& \quad +\xi\left((n-3)\xi+2\right)(n-1)p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2(p_1p_2)\mathcal{Q}\Big] \\
& \qquad \times\left[p_3^2(p_1p_2)\varphi+(p_1p_3)\kappa_1+(p_2p_3)\kappa_2+p_3^2\kappa_3\right] \\
& -\mathcal{K}\Big[\left((n-4)\xi+4\right)\mathcal{K}\Big(\left((n-4)\xi-8\right)p_1^2p_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\qquad +\xi\mathcal{Q}\left((n-2)p_3^2-2(n-3)(p_1p_2)\right)\big) \\
&\quad -\xi\left((n-3)\xi+2\right)(n-2)p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2\mathcal{Q}\big]\left[(p_1p_2)\varphi+\kappa_3\right] \\
&+\mathcal{K}\,\varphi\big[\xi\left((n-4)\xi+4\right)\mathcal{K} \\
&\qquad \times\big((2n-7)p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2+\mathcal{Q}\left(p_1^2(p_1p_3)+p_2^2(p_2p_3)\right)\big) \\
&\qquad +\xi\left((n-3)\xi+2\right)p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2\left(p_3^2\mathcal{Q}-2(n-4)\mathcal{K}\right)\big] \\
&-\frac{\mathcal{K}}{n-1}\big[p_1^2p_2^2\mathcal{K}\big(8(3n-2)+4(n-1)(5n-17)\xi \\
&\qquad\qquad -3(n-1)(n-4)\xi^2\big) \\
&\quad +\xi\left((n-4)\xi+4\right)(n-1)\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Q}^2 \\
&\quad +\xi\left((n-3)\xi+2\right)(n-1)p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2\mathcal{Q}\big]\left[\kappa_1+\kappa_2\right]\bigg\}, \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

One of the main technical problems we met in this calculation was how to bring the results for arbitrary $\xi$ to a reasonably short form, i.e. how to organize the result and which bases to choose. First, it was possible to get better factorization of the coefficients by considering not $\varphi$ and $\kappa_i$ themselves but certain linear combinations. Then, the next idea was to try to use in some cases not only $p_1^2, p_2^2, p_3^2$ but also the scalar products $(p_1p_2)$, $(p_1p_3)$ and $(p_2p_3)$, together with the notation $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ for symmetric combinations. These tricks (as well as looking for proper combinations of $\xi$ and $n$) allowed us to write the expressions in a much shorter form.

There are some special values of the gauge parameter $\xi$ we would like to point out. First of all, we see that the terms containing $p_1^2, p_2^2$ or $p_3^2$ in the denominator disappear not only if we put $\xi = 0$, but also in a "singular" (in four dimensions) gauge, $\xi = -4/(n-4)$. Having no $p_i^2$ in the denominator is convenient when one considers on-shell limits, i.e., when some of the external momenta squared vanish; otherwise, one needs to expand the scalar integrals in the vanishing momenta squared (see Section 4). Secondly, many terms vanish for $\xi = -2/(n-3)$, which could be considered an $n$-dimensional generalization of the Fried–Yennie gauge [16].

In four dimensions, the only function which is ultraviolet-divergent is the $A$ function. It should be renormalized by adding the counterterm

$$A^{(1,CT)} = \frac{\overline{g}^2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[C_A\left(\tfrac{2}{3}+\tfrac{3}{4}\xi\right)-\tfrac{3}{4}N_fT_R\right]\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+R\right)$$

where $R$ is a constant related to a choice of renormalization scheme, whereas $\overline{g}^2 \equiv g^2 e^{-\gamma\varepsilon}(4\pi)^\varepsilon$ is the "rescaled" coupling constant. Such a redefinition of $g^2$ is usually performed in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ renormalization scheme [17] which corresponds to the choice $R = 0$ (cf. eq. (15) of ref. [2]). In the symmetric limit, $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2$, our results (after renormalization) coincide with those from the paper [2] (which had been also confirmed in [18]).

## 4. ON-SHELL LIMITS

We first consider the case of *one* external momentum squared being zero, $p_3^2 = 0$. Note that now we should consider the scalar functions $A$, $B$, $C$ and $F$ from (3) with permuted arguments as well. The result for the triangle integral (4) simplifies in this limit,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(1,1,1)\Big|_{p_3^2=0} &= \mathrm{i}\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\;\eta\;\varphi(p_1^2,p_2^2,0) \\
&= \mathrm{i}\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\;\eta\;\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\;\frac{(-p_1^2)^{-\varepsilon}-(-p_2^2)^{-\varepsilon}}{p_1^2-p_2^2}, \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta$ is defined by eq. (6). Moreover, in the framework of dimensional regularization [7], $J(1,1,0)|_{p_3^2=0} = 0$, while the results for $J(1,0,1)$ and $J(0,1,1)$ remain unchanged. The $1/\varepsilon$ pole in (14) corresponds to the infrared (on-shell) singularity which arises in the scalar integral in the limit $p_3^2 = 0$.

For the Feynman gauge, $\xi = 0$ (and also for the singular gauge, $\xi = -4/(n-4)$), it is enough to perform the above substitutions to get the answer. In the case of arbitrary $\xi$, however, the situation is more tricky, due to the presence of $p_3^2$ in the denominators of the scalar functions. Here, in order to get a correct answer, one needs the next term of the expansion of the integral $J(1,1,1)$ in $p_3^2$ (see in [6]).

The infrared $1/\varepsilon$ singularities of the results for gluon and ghost contributions have been compared with the results given in [4], eqs. (24)–(25). The functions $G^j$ defined in [4] can be represented as linear combinations of the scalar functions (3), including those with permuted arguments. To get renormalized results, the counterterm $A^{(1,CT)}$ was added to all $A$ functions. In the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme, the obtained results coincide with those presented in ref. [4], eq. (25) (up to a minor misprint).

We next consider one external *momentum* being zero, $p_3 = 0$. In this case, $p_1 = -p_2 \equiv p$ ($p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p^2$), and the proper limit of eq. (14) yields:

$$J(1,1,1)\Big|_{p_3=0} = \mathrm{i}\,\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\;\; \eta\, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\,(-p^2)^{-1-\varepsilon}. \qquad (15)$$

Actually, we get some powers of $(p_1^2 - p_2^2)$ in the denominator from the $\mathcal{K}$'s, since $\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{4}(p_1^2 - p_2^2)^2$ in this limit. Therefore, we should be careful taking the limit $p_2^2 \to p_1^2$ and expand the numerator up to higher powers in $(p_1^2 - p_2^2)$.

In this limit, there are only three independent tensor structures left,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p,-p,0)\\ &= 2\, g_{\mu_1\mu_2} p_{\mu_3}\;\left[A(p^2,p^2;0) + p^2\; C(p^2,p^2;0)\right]\\ &- (g_{\mu_1\mu_3}p_{\mu_2} + g_{\mu_2\mu_3}p_{\mu_1})\left[A(0,p^2;p^2) - B(0,p^2;p^2)\right]\\ &\qquad -2p_{\mu_1}p_{\mu_2}p_{\mu_3}\; C(p^2,p^2;0)\;. \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

The following relation holds for the zero-momentum case (for arbitrary values of $n$ and $\xi$):

$$A^{(1)}(p^2,p^2;0) - A^{(1)}(0,p^2;p^2) + B^{(1)}(0,p^2;p^2) = 0.$$

Using this relation, we can reduce the number of tensor structures in (16) from three to two,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Gamma^{(1)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p,-p,0)\\ &= (2g_{\mu_1\mu_2}p_{\mu_3} - g_{\mu_1\mu_3}p_{\mu_2} - g_{\mu_2\mu_3}p_{\mu_1})\, A^{(1)}(p^2,p^2;0)\\ &\; +2p_{\mu_3}\left(p^2 g_{\mu_1\mu_2} - p_{\mu_1}p_{\mu_2}\right) C^{(1)}(p^2,p^2;0), \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

where the results for the scalar functions are given in [6]. Note that the first tensor structure on the r.h.s. of (17) coincides with (1). Renormalizing our results in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme, we find that they agree with those of [4]. We have also compared the renormalized version of eq. (17) with the one-loop results presented in ref. [19], eq. (A10). We reproduce their result for $T_1$, but not for $T_2$.

Finally, we consider *two* external momenta squared being zero, $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$. In this case, we find

$$\begin{aligned}J(1,1,1)\big|_{p_1^2=p_2^2=0} &= \mathrm{i}\,\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\;\eta\;\varphi(0,0,p^2)\\ &= -\mathrm{i}\,\pi^{2-\varepsilon}\;\eta\;\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\,(-p^2)^{-1-\varepsilon}, \qquad (18)\end{aligned}$$

$$J(1,0,1)\big|_{p_1^2=p_2^2=0} = J(0,1,1)\big|_{p_1^2=p_2^2=0} = 0, \qquad (19)$$

while the result for $J(1,1,0)$ remains unchanged. Note that now, when two external lines are on-shell, the infrared singularity in $J(1,1,1)$ is stronger and gives $1/\varepsilon^2$.

Again, it is enough to make the above substitutions to get the result in Feynman gauge ($\xi = 0$), and in the singular gauge ($\xi = -4/(n-4)$), but the situation is more tricky for arbitrary $\xi$ since we have $p_1^2$ and $p_2^2$ in the denominators of the scalar functions. To solve this problem, we need to consider the expansion of $J(1,1,1)$ in $p_1^2$ and $p_2^2$. Two independent ways were used to get the results for the scalar functions in this limit:

(i) We take the expressions for one of the momenta squared equal to zero (see above), and put the second momentum squared equal to zero. In the corresponding expressions, all $p_i^2$ occurring in the denominators are always accompanied by the corresponding $\kappa_i \equiv \kappa(p_i^2)$ in the numerator, which should be put equal to zero when $p_i^2$ vanishes.

(ii) First, we put $J(1,0,1) = J(0,1,1) = 0$. Since the resulting expressions did not have singularities for $p_1^2 = p_2^2$, the next step was to put $p_1^2 = p_2^2 \equiv p_0^2$. Then, the integral $J(1,1,1)$ was expanded in $p_0^2/p^2$ keeping the terms up to $(p_0^2/p^2)^2$. Finally, the limit $p_0^2 \to 0$ was taken.

The results obtained in these two ways coincide, the expressions obtained for the scalar functions (3) are presented in [6]. Infrared-divergent parts were successfully compared with the expressions presented in [4]. The result for the three-gluon vertex in the Feynman gauge (for $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$) is available in Appendix B of ref. [5]. It is expanded around $n = 4$, and the divergent and finite (in $\varepsilon$) parts are presented. In this limit, our expressions agree with the results of [5].

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained results for the one-loop three-gluon vertex valid for arbitrary values of the space-time dimension, $n$, and the covariant-gauge parameter, $\xi$. We have considered the general off-shell case (arbitrary $p_1^2, p_2^2, p_3^2$), as well as all on-shell cases of interest. Moreover, having the results in arbitrary dimension, it was possible to get all on-shell expressions just by considering the corresponding limits of the general (off-shell) results[3]. This would be impossible if one started from the off-shell results expanded around $n = 4$, because in this case the infrared (on-shell) divergences would appear as logarithms of vanishing momenta squared.

To calculate the vertex, we used the decomposition (3) and considered the six scalar functions, $A, B, C, S, F$ and $H$, which completely define the three-gluon vertex. One of these functions, namely the $S$ function, was found to be identically zero at the one-loop order[4]. For special cases, we have successfully compared our results with those from the papers [2,3] (off-shell) and [4,5] (on-shell).

Furthermore, in [6] we have obtained general results for the ghost-gluon vertex. Employing these results, together with one-loop two-point functions, we have checked that the Ward–Slavnov–Taylor identity for the three-gluon vertex is (for arbitrary $n$ and $\xi$) satisfied by the expressions obtained. This is another non-trivial check on the longitudinal part of the vertex (the $A$, $B$, $C$ and $S$ functions).

**Acknowledgements.** Research by A. D. and P. O. was supported by the Research Council of Norway. A. D. is grateful to the organizers and to DESY-Zeuthen for supporting his participation in the Rheinsberg Workshop.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. 47B (1973) 365;
   D.J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1343;
   H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1346;
   S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31 (1973) 494.
2. W. Celmaster and R.J. Gonsalves, Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) 1420.
3. J.S. Ball and T.-W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 2550; Erratum: D23 (1981) 3085.
4. F.T. Brandt and J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 464.
5. M.A. Nowak, M. Praszałowicz and W. Słomiński, Ann. Phys. 166 (1986) 443.
6. A.I. Davydychev, P. Osland and O.V. Tarasov, Bergen Univ. preprint 1995-16, (hep-ph/9605348).
7. G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44 (1972) 189;
   C.G. Bollini and J.J. Giambiagi, Nuovo Cim. 12B (1972) 20.
8. F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B100 (1981) 65;
   K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B192 (1981) 159.
9. A.I. Davydychev, J. Phys. A25 (1992) 5587.
10. N.I. Ussyukina and A.I. Davydychev, Phys. Lett. B332 (1994) 159; B348 (1995) 503.
11. A.I. Davydychev and J.B. Tausk, Mainz/Bergen Univ. preprint MZ-TH–95-14, 1995-07 (hep-ph/9504431), to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
12. R. Scharf, Diploma Thesis, Würzburg, 1991;
    C. Ford, I. Jack and D.R.T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. B387 (1992) 373;
    A.I. Davydychev and J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B397 (1993) 123.
13. G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B153 (1979) 365.
14. L.M. Brown and R.P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 231;
    G. Passarino and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 151.
15. A.C. Hearn, *REDUCE User's Manual* (version 3.5), RAND publication CP78 (Santa Monica, 1993).
16. H.M. Fried and D.R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. (Ser. 2), 112 (1958) 1391.
17. W.A. Bardeen, A.J. Buras, D.W. Duke and T. Muta, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 3998.
18. P. Pascual and R. Tarrach, Nucl. Phys. B174 (1980) 123.
19. E. Braaten and J.P. Leveille, Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 1369.
20. Z. Bern, L. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, Preprint SLAC-PUB–7111 (hep-ph/9602280), to appear in Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.

---

[3] The on-shell limits are especially interesting for multijet calculations, see e.g. [20] and references therein.

[4] In the Feynman gauge and four dimensions, this results was obtained in [3].